Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Follow up Flagged

Attn. Ms Cath Barker

Dear Ms Barker,

To avoid duplication of evidence relating to this complaint I have requested a list of documentation supporting the QCA's concerns. Was the QCA complaint to CMC a "criminal complaint" or an "official misconduct" complaint?

Further evidence supporting an official misconduct complaint can be found in Submissions #59 and #84 listed on the Productivity Commission's Urban Water Sector Inquiry website.

Kind regards,

Richard Koerner

------ Original Message ------Subject:Re: Class IC: MI-11-1508 Your Concerns Date:Tue, 12 Jul 2011 14:49:57 +1000 From:Richard Koerner <a href="mailto: To:Complaints <a href="mailto:Complaints@cmc.qld.gov.au>

Attn. Mr. Dylan Jones

Dear Mr. Jones,

I refer again to the CMC letter dated 7 July relating to official misconduct at the highest levels of the Queensland Government (including ministerial misconduct).

It is my understanding that this correspondence resulted from a communication from the Queensland Competition Authority (QCA) that was accompanied with supporting documentation. In order that duplication of information can be minimised it would be helpful if a list of supporting documentation that may have been cited in the QCA's complaint could be made available.

Documentary evidence relating to investigation of improper manipulation of regulatory assets by Governmental agencies that is in breach of of National Water Initiative Pricing Principles can also be obtained from the Queensland Ombudsman's files 2007/12525, 2009/01549, and 2010/02940. I understand that the Ombudsman would provide this material to assist the CMC's further investigation if requested.

Kind regards,

Richard Koerner

------ Original Message ------ **Subject:**Re: Class IC: MI-11-1508 Your Concerns **Date:**Sun, 10 Jul 2011 13:10:16 +1000 **From:**Richard Koerner <a href="mailto: **To:**Complaints <a href="mailto: **Complaints @cmc.qld.gov.au>**

Attn. Mr. Dylan Jones

Dear Mr. Jones,

Thank you for the letter dated 7 July (Ref: MI-111508DBJONES).

The governance concerns expressed to QCA stem from supporting material provided in public submissions to both the Productivity Commission's current Urban Water Sector Inquiry and the National Water Commission's 2011 Biennial Assessment. Issues raised are far broader in scope than improper appointments to the board of SEQwater. Attached is the submission to National Water Commission's 2011 Biennial Assessment.

In due course I will provide the CMC selected background correspondence that demonstrates misconduct at the highest levels of the Queensland Government relating to failure to adopt of NWI reform agreements with the Federal Government in SEQ.

Kind regards,

Richard Koerner

```
On 8/07/2011 1:12 PM, Complaints wrote:
> Dear Mr Koerner,
>
> Please find attached the CMC's response to your concerns.
>
> Integrity Services
>
>
 >
> This message (including attachments) is intended for the addressee named above.It may
also be confidential, privileged and/or subject to copyright. If you wish to forward
this message to others, you must first obtain the permission of the author.
>
> Any unauthorised use of this material is prohibited. If you have received this message
in error please notify the sender immediately, delete the message and destroy any printed
or electronic copies. Any privilege or confidentiality attached to this message is not
waived, lost or destroyed because you have received this message in error.
> Opinions expressed in this message are those of the sender and do not necessarily
represent the official position or opinions of the Crime and Misconduct Commission.
>
> While all care has been taken, the Crime and Misconduct Commission disclaims all
liability for loss or damage: that may result from reliance on, or the use of,
information contained in this email and attachments; or to person or property arising
from this message being infected by computer virus or other contamination.
>
```

>